

Paper City Industries, LLC
23 Patterson Brook Rd
West Wareham, MA 06870
MP281764, MC282052

Case No. ENF-2026-0000001972

SUMMARY SUSPENSION ORDER
G.L. c. 94G, §§ 4(a)(xi) and (a½)(xi)
935 CMR 500.350

Pursuant to its authority under G.L. c. 94G, §§ 4(a)(xi) and (a½)(xi) and 935 CMR 500.350, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Cannabis Control Commission (the “Commission”), acting through its Executive Director, issues this Summary Suspension Order requiring the immediate suspension of License nos. MP281764 and MC282052 held by Paper City Industries, LLC (the “Respondent”), and the cessation of all licensed operations having determined a lack of responsible operation of the Marijuana Establishment by Respondent. Respondent’s failure to comply with a combination of regulations related to security measures, chemical storage, inventory tracking, agent registrations, and Marijuana wasting procedures poses an immediate or serious threat to the public health, safety, or welfare.

This Summary Suspension Order shall be effective upon Respondent and shall take effect on October 16, 2026, at 12:01 A.M. (the “Effective Date and Time”).

I. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITY

1. The Commission is charged with the administration and enforcement of laws regulating and licensing adult-use Marijuana Establishments and maintains jurisdiction over the conduct of licensed Marijuana Establishments, including their compliance with the Commonwealth’s marijuana laws, G.L. c. 94G, and regulations, 935 CMR 500.000 *et seq.* See G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a), (a½);
2. Marijuana Cultivators and Marijuana Product Manufacturers are types of Marijuana Establishments licensed by the Commission to “cultivate, process, and package Marijuana, to deliver marijuana to marijuana establishments and to transfer marijuana to other marijuana establishments, but not consumers” and “obtain, manufacture, process and package marijuana and marijuana products, to deliver marijuana and marijuana products to marijuana establishments and to transfer marijuana and marijuana products to other marijuana establishments, but not to consumers” respectively. G.L. c. 94G, § 1;



3. The Commission possesses all powers necessary or convenient to carry out and effectuate its purposes including, but not limited to:
 - a. the power to deny an application or limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend a license. G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a)(xi);
 - b. the power to gather facts and information applicable to the Commission's obligation to suspend or revoke licenses for a violation of G.L. c. 94G, or any regulation adopted by the Commission. G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a)(xiv); and
 - c. the power to conduct adjudicatory proceedings in accordance with chapter 30A. G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a)(xxiv);
4. The Commission also has the power to promulgate regulations and to adopt, amend, or repeal regulations for the implementation, administration, and enforcement of G.L. c. 94G. *See* G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a)(xxiv), (xxviii);
5. Accordingly, the Commission has adopted regulations for the administration, clarification and enforcement of laws regulating Marijuana Establishments, including 935 CMR 500.350, under which the Commission or a Commission Delegee may impose a Summary Suspension Order prior to a hearing to protect the public health, safety, or welfare. 935 CMR 500.350(1);
6. If based on inspection, affidavits, or other credible evidence, the Commission or Commission Delegee determines that a Licensee or Registrant poses an immediate or serious threat to the public health, safety or welfare, the Commission or Commission Delegee's may issue a Summary Suspension Order that requires the immediate suspension of a License and its associated registrations and cessation of all operations. 935 CMR 500.350(2)(b);
7. On receipt of a Summary Suspension Order, the Licensee and its associated Marijuana Establishment Agents must immediately comply with the requirements of the order and, if requested, post notice at public entrances to the establishment. 935 CMR 500.350(4);

II. FACTUAL BASIS

In making this determination, the Commission finds as follows:

8. Respondent is an operational adult-use Marijuana Product Manufacturer (MP281764) and Marijuana Cultivator (MC282052) located at 23 Patterson Brook Road, West Wareham, MA 06870 (the "West Wareham Facility");
9. Respondent also holds three other Marijuana Establishment licenses: MP281627, which is active, and MP281674 and MC282163, which are both expired;



10. On December 11, 2025, the Commission approved a Change of Ownership (“COO”) application that transferred MP281764 and MC282052 from Coastal Cultivars to Paper City Industries. On December 30, 2025, the COO was effectuated;
11. Respondent’s Product Manufacture License (MP281627) located at 125 Southbridge Rd Oxford MA 01537 commenced operations on June 16, 2021;
12. Respondent held a Product Manufacture License, License no. MP281674, located at 11 Berkshire Street Holyoke MA 01040 which expired on January 21, 2024;
13. Respondent held a Marijuana Cultivator License, License No. MC282163, located at 11 Berkshire Street Holyoke MA 01040 which expired on January 21, 2023;
14. On September 18, 2026, the Licensing Department was contacted by one of Respondent’s employees who was concerned that they had not received their Agent Registration Card;
15. The Licensing Department forwarded the concern over to the Investigations and Enforcement Department (the “department”), who reached out to the individual the same day to obtain more information;
16. The employee stated he had not received his agent badge despite having worked at Respondent’s product manufacturing facility at the West Wareham Facility for several weeks;
17. On September 22, 2026, the department conducted an unannounced inspection at the West Wareham Facility;
18. Upon arrival at the West Wareham Facility, Investigators were able to enter the Premises through the unlocked front gate;
19. After entering the facility, Investigators walked past several half-circle metal buildings where the Licensee was storing Marijuana. Investigators observed that doors to these areas were left open and did not limit access to the Marijuana stored in those areas;
20. While walking through the premises, Investigators noticed that a majority of the employees present were not displaying their Agent Registration Cards, indicating that they were not registered with the Commission;
21. Investigators entered Respondent’s Marijuana Product Manufacturing area of the West Wareham Facility, where they spoke with the product manufacturing manager, who was confused as to how Investigators were able to gain access;



22. During their conversation with the product manufacturing manager, he stated that all of the unregistered employees had been signed in as visitors, despite none of the employees displaying visitor badges, or having an escort;
23. Throughout the inspection, Investigators observed several employees handling Marijuana and requested to see the Marijuana Agent Registration Cards. The employees did not have Marijuana Agent Registration Cards and instead showed Investigators their drivers' licenses;
24. Investigators spoke with the regional manager of the facility who was present and found he was unable to explain the unregistered employees, or account for all employees on site at the time;
25. During their conversation, the regional manager expressed that it was his understanding that the staffing agency, used to hire the employees, had handled the badging process and that he assumed all agents on site had been registered with the Commission;
26. When the regional manager was asked why the employees were being signed in as visitors if he was under the assumption the employees had already received Agent Registration Cards from the Commission, he was unable to provide his reasoning;
27. Both of the managers Investigators spoke with claimed that all the employees had just recently started; however, Respondent's visitor logs show employees signed in as visitors since at least July 2026;
28. While on site, Investigators requested the CORI reports and Responsible Vendor Training certificates from Respondent, and Respondent was unable to produce any responsive documentation;
29. Investigators further observed that Respondent's physical inventory did not match its virtual inventory and identified several untagged Marijuana plants;
30. Investigators also found several Metrc tags that had amounts remaining physically on-site, but were on a desk ready to be adjusted in Metrc to indicate that no product associated with the tag was remaining;
31. While conducting their inventory audit, Investigators also found 350 Lazer Fuel prerolls associated with Metrc Tag 1A40A0100001006000143656 that was marked as finished in Metrc, meaning there should be no remaining Marijuana associated with that Metrc Tag.
32. After the inspection, Respondent adjusted its Metrc inventory to add 500 grams of Marijuana, representing the 350 Lazer Fuel prerolls to Metrc Tag 1A40A0100001006000143656;



33. When performing an inventory audit of Respondent's green houses, Investigators found tens of thousands of grams of Marijuana in bins that were physically located in Green House 1 that were virtually in Green House 2;
34. Respondent could not produce any evidence that it had conducted the required monthly or annual inventory audits;
35. Investigators requested to see Respondent's security camera system where they observed that several of Respondent's cameras were non-operational;
36. Investigators also requested the monthly security system audits, and Respondent could not produce any documentation showing that the audits were conducted;
37. Investigators inspected Respondent's waste and observed entire plants and other Marijuana and Marijuana Product in an unlocked, open dumpster that was not destroyed and rendered unusable;
38. When Investigators reviewed Respondent's waste log, the entries were missing several pieces of key information, to include the Metrc information associated with each product wasted;
39. Investigators observed several unlabeled spray bottles throughout the facility that employees were unable to identify the contents of;
40. Investigators also observed an open, unlocked cabinet containing several chemicals used for cultivation purposes including pesticides, as well as unsecured chemicals all throughout the facility;

III. COMMISSION FINDINGS AND VIOLATIONS OF LAW

41. The facts set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 43 warrant the issuance of a Summary Suspension Order;
42. Commission regulations authorize the Commission, or a Commission delegee, to issue a Summary Suspension Order on the receipt of credible information that a licensee poses an immediate or serious threat to the public health, safety, or welfare. *See* 935 CMR 500.350(2);
43. Commission regulations state "[a] Marijuana Establishment shall apply for registration for all its employees ... who are associated with that Marijuana Establishment." *See* 935 CMR 500.030(1);



44. Several employees present at Respondent's facility, including those seen handling and processing Marijuana and Marijuana Products, were not Registered Marijuana Establishment Agents in violation of 935 CMR 500.030(1);
45. Records of a Marijuana Establishment shall be available for inspection by the Commission, on request. Written records that are required and are subject to inspection include but are not necessarily limited to, all records required in any section of 935CMR500.000 in addition to all background check reports obtained in accordance with G.L. c. 6 § 172, 935 CMR 500.029, 935 CMR 500.030, and 803 CMR 2:00: Criminal Offender Record Information, and Notice of completed Responsible Vendor Training Program and in-house training for Marijuana Establishment Agents required under 935 CMR 500.105(2) *See* 935 CMR 500.105(9)(d)5., *see also* 935 CMR 500.105(9)(d)2.g.;
46. Respondent was unable to produce CORI reports or Notices of completed Vendor Training Program for the employees working at Respondent's facility in violation of 935 CMR 500.105(9)(d)5. and 935 CMR 500.105(9)(d)2.g.;
47. Commission regulations require the implementation of sufficient security measures to deter theft of Marijuana and Marijuana Products, prevent unauthorized entrance into areas containing Marijuana and Marijuana Products and ensure the safety of Marijuana Establishment employees, Consumers and the general public and shall include but not be limited to: securing all entrances to the Marijuana Establishment to prevent unauthorized access, establishing Limited Access Areas pursuant to 935 CMR 500.110(4), which, after receipt of a final License, shall be accessible only to specifically authorized personnel, limited to include only the minimum number of employees essential for efficient operation, keeping all safes, vaults, and any other equipment or areas used for the production, cultivation, harvesting, Processing or storage, including prior to disposal, of Marijuana or Marijuana Products securely locked and protected from entry, except for the actual time required to remove or replace Marijuana. *See* 935 CMR 500.110(1)(d), *see also* 935 CMR 500.110(1)(e), *see also* 935 CMR 500.110(1)(g);
48. Respondent's front gate, which allows access to the entire facility, was unsecured in violation of 935 CMR 500.110(1)(d);
49. Several areas deemed as Limited Access where large quantities of Marijuana was visibly present were unsecured in violation of 935 CMR 500.110(1)(g).;
50. Respondent's product manufacturing space was unsecured in violation of 935 CMR 500.110(1)(e);
51. Commission regulations require "[v]ideo cameras in all areas that may contain Marijuana or vaults or safes for the purpose of securing cash, at all points of entry and exit and in any parking lot which shall be appropriate for the normal lighting conditions of the area under surveillance. The cameras shall be directed at all safes, vaults, sales areas and areas



where Marijuana is cultivated, harvested, Processed, prepared, stored, handled or dispensed, or where cash is kept and processed. Cameras shall be angled so as to allow for the capture of clear and certain identification of any person entering or exiting the Marijuana establishment or area.” *See* 935 CMR 500.110(5)(a)4.;

52. “All security equipment shall be in good working order and shall be inspected and tested at regular intervals, not to exceed 30 calendar days from the previous inspection and test.” *See* 935 CMR 500.110(6)(e);
53. A Marijuana Establishment shall, on an annual basis, obtain at its own expense, a security system audit by a vendor approved by the Commission. A report of such audit shall be submitted in a form and manner determined by the Commission, no later than 30 calendar days after the audit is conducted. If the audit identifies concerns related to the establishment’s security system, the Marijuana Establishment shall also submit a plan to mitigate those concerns within ten business days of submitting the audit. *See* 935 CMR 500.110(10);
54. “A Marijuana Establishment shall notify appropriate Law Enforcement Authorities and the Commission of any breach of security or other reportable incident defined in 935 CMR 500.110(9) immediately and, in no instance, more than 24 hours following the discovery of the breach or incident. Notification shall occur, but not be limited to, during any breach of security.” *See* 935 CMR 500.110(9)(a)12.;
55. Several of Respondent’s security cameras were inoperable in violation of 935 CMR 500.110(5)(a)4. and 935 CMR 500.110(9)(a)12.;
56. Respondent was unable to provide responsive documentation that the monthly and annual security audits were conducted in violation of 935 CMR 500.110(6)(e) and 935 CMR 500.110(10);
57. Respondent failed to notify the Commission that several security cameras were inoperable in violation of 935 CMR 500.110(9)(a)12.;
58. “Real-time inventory shall be maintained as specified by the Commission and in 935 CMR 500.105(8)(c) and (d) including, at a minimum, an inventory of Marijuana plants; Marijuana plant-seeds and Clones in any phase of development such as Propagation, Vegetation, and Flowering; Marijuana ready for dispensing; all Marijuana Products; and all damaged, defective, expired, or contaminated Marijuana and Marijuana Products awaiting disposal. *See* 935 CMR 500.105(8)(b);
59. Commission regulations require Marijuana Establishments to conduct a monthly inventory of Marijuana in the process of cultivation and finished, stored Marijuana, as well as a comprehensive annual inventory at least once every year after the date of the previous comprehensive inventory. *See* 935 CMR 500(8)(c)2.-3.;



60. The record of each inventory shall include, at a minimum the date of the inventory, a summary of the inventory findings, and the names, signatures, and titles of the individuals who conducted the inventory. *See* 935 CMR 500.105(8)(d);
61. “A Marijuana Establishment shall attach plant tags to all Marijuana, Clones, and plants and attach package tags to all Finished Marijuana and Marijuana Products, and track all Marijuana seeds, Clones, plants, and Marijuana Products, using a Seed-to-sale methodology in a form and manner to be approved by the Commission.” *See* 935 CMR 500.105(8)(e);
62. Respondent had a several discrepancies between it’s physical and virtual inventory, including several plants that were present physically, but not represented in Respondent’s Metrc account, prerolls that were unassociated with any Metrc tag, and several Metrc tags that had amounts remaining virtually, but no product physically present, and tens of thousands of grams of Marijuana improperly allocated within the facility, amounting to several pounds of Marijuana not being accurately tracked in Respondent’s Metrc, in violation of 935 CMR 500.105(8)(b) and 935 CMR 500.105(8)(e);
63. The failure to enter inventory into the Seed-to-sale system of record may result in the suspension or revocation of a Marijuana Establishment License. 935 CMR 500.105(8)(f);
64. Respondent was unable to produce records of its monthly and annual inventory audits in violation of 935 CMR 500.105(8)(d);
65. Respondent’s numerous and egregious inventory discrepancies discovered during the inspection on September 22, 2026, coupled with the fact that Respondent was incapable of producing records evidencing that they had been performing the monthly and yearly inventory audits demonstrates that these inventory audits were never conducted in violation of 935 CMR 500.105(8)(c)2.-3.;
66. Commission regulations require that all recyclables and waste including organic waste composed of or containing Finished Marijuana and Marijuana Products to be stored, secured, and managed in accordance with applicable state and local statutes, ordinances, and regulations. All exterior waste receptacles located on the Marijuana Establishment’s Premises shall be locked and secured to prevent unauthorized access, and the potential for Diversion, theft, or loss. *See* 935 CMR 500.105(12)(a);
67. “Solid waste containing Marijuana generated at the Marijuana Establishment shall be rendered unusable for its original purpose. Once such Marijuana has been rendered unusable, the resulting solid waste may be brought to a solid waste transfer facility or a solid waste disposal facility that holds a valid permit issued by the Department of Environmental Protection or by the appropriate agency in the jurisdiction in which the facility is located.” *See* 935 CMR 500.105(12)(c)3.;



68. “A Marijuana Establishment Agent shall witness and document how the solid waste or organic material containing Marijuana is rendered unusable on-site including, but not limited to, the grinding up, mixing, storage and removal from the Marijuana Establishment in accordance with 935 CMR 500.105(12). When Marijuana Products or waste is disposed or handled, the Marijuana Establishment shall create and maintain an electronic record of the date, the type and quantity disposed or handled, the manner of disposal or other handling, the location of disposal or other handling, and the name of the Marijuana Establishment Agent present during the disposal or other handling, with their signature. A marijuana Establishment shall keep these records for at least three years. This period shall automatically be extended for the duration of any disciplinary action and may be extended by an order of the Commission.” *See* 935 CMR 500.105(12)(d);
69. Respondent had entire intact Marijuana plants thrown out in a large, open, unlocked dumpster in violation of 935 CMR 500.105(12)(a);
70. In addition to being in the open and unlocked dumpster, Respondent had not rendered the Marijuana and Marijuana Product unusable in violation of 925 CMR 500.105(12)(c)3.;
71. Respondent’s waste logs were missing vital information including the Metrc Number associated with the wasted product in violation of 935 CMR 500.105(12)(d);
72. Commission regulations require Marijuana Cultivators to maintain written policies and procedures for the cultivation, production, Transfer or distribution of Marijuana which shall include policies and procedures for ensuring fire safety in cultivation activities including the storage and processing of chemicals or fertilizers, in compliance with the standards set forth in 527 CMR 1.00: *The Massachusetts Comprehensive Fire Safety Code*. *See* 935 CMR 500.120(f);
73. All Marijuana Establishments, including those that develop, repackage, or process non-Edible Marijuana Products, shall comply with the following sanitary requirements ... All toxic items shall be identified, held, and stored in a manner that protects against contamination of Marijuana Products. Toxic items may not be stored in an area containing products used in the cultivation of Marijuana. The Commission may require a Marijuana Establishment to demonstrate the intended and actual use of any toxic items found on the Premises. *See* 935 CMR 500.105(3)(b)(10);
74. Respondent had several unlabeled spray bottles throughout its facility that employees were unable to identify in violation of 935 CMR 500.105(3)(b)(10);
75. Respondent’s chemical storage closet was unlocked and fully accessible to anyone who attempted to open it, and there were several chemical containers in different indoor and outdoor areas of the facility that were not properly stored or secured in violation of 935 CMR 500.120(f);



76. A lack of responsible operation of a Marijuana Establishment demonstrated through incompetent or negligent operation constitutes full and adequate grounds for suspending or revoking a Marijuana Establishment's License. *See* 935 CMR 500.450(7)(a), *see also* 935 CMR 500.450(7)(f);
77. Respondent's numerous violations of Commission regulations pertaining to agent badging, inventory tracking and discrepancies, security requirements, handling of chemicals and wasting procedures demonstrates incompetent and negligent operation in violation of 935 CMR 500.450(7)(a) and 935 CMR 500.450(7)(f);
78. Respondent's aforementioned violations, when taken in concert, drastically increase the risk of diversion, and jeopardize the safety of Respondent's employees as well as the public at large, constituting an immediate threat to public health, safety and welfare;

IV. ORDER

Based on the above factual findings and violations of law, and Respondent's disregard of Commission regulations related to security measures, inventory tracking, agent registrations, and Marijuana wasting procedures, Respondent's continued operations pose an immediate or serious threat to the public health, safety, or welfare.

79. Accordingly, the Commission, acting through its Executive Director, **suspends and requires the immediate cessation of operations** associated with the licenses stated below subject to the conditions of Paragraph 82:

Final License	MP281764	Marijuana Product Manufacturer	West Wareham, MA
Final License	MC282052	Marijuana Cultivator	West Wareham, MA

80. Accordingly, the Commission, acting through its Executive Director, **suspends** all agent registrations affiliated with MP281764 and MC282052, subject to the conditions of Paragraph 82, effective October 16, 2026, at 12:01 A.M;

81. Further, the Commission, acting through its Executive Director, hereby **orders:**

- Respondent **shall** post a copy of this Summary Suspension Order at all public entrances to its establishment;
- Respondent **shall** identify agents essential for the security and general maintenance of the West Wareham Facility and provide the names of those agents to Inspections@CCCMass.com, Attn: Director of Investigations. Upon satisfactory review of the agents proposed by Respondent, the Commission may approve reinstatement of their Agent Registrations for those limited purposes;



- c. Respondent **shall** identify agents essential for maintaining and harvesting the inventory of Marijuana and Marijuana Products at the West Wareham Facility and provide their names to Inspections@CCCMass.com, Attn: Director of Investigations. Upon satisfactory review of the agents proposed by Respondent, the Commission may approve reinstatement of their Agent Registrations for that limited purpose;
- d. Respondent **shall** conduct a comprehensive inventory of all Marijuana and Marijuana Products that are located at the West Wareham Facility as of the date of this Order and identify whether there was any diversion, theft, or loss of product to the Commission within 15 days of this Order;
- e. Respondent **shall** preserve any and all security footage or video records from July 15, 2026, to the date of this Order, and continue to preserve all security footage or video records following the date of this Order until such time as the Order is lifted. Respondent **shall** provide security footage or video records as requested by the Commission;
- f. Respondent **shall** not allow anyone other than those identified in subparagraphs b and c of this paragraph to access the premises with the exception of Commission staff, local law enforcement, or emergency personnel. Limited exceptions for other individuals, including, but not limited to, third-party contractors, consultants or visitors, will be considered on a case-by-case basis as outlined below:
 - (i) Respondent shall provide notice of other individuals to the Director of Investigations, at least 24 hours in advance of an expected visit and such request shall include the name(s) of the visitor(s), expected date of visit, purpose of the visit, and name(s) of the Registered Agent(s) who will escort the visitor(s). Visitors may access the facility only on approved dates and may only be present for the purposes stated. All approved visitors shall be signed in and out as Visitors and must be accompanied by a Registered Agent;
- g. Respondent **shall** cooperate with the Commission as necessary for Commission staff to conduct any inspections or security assessments of the premises to ensure the continued maintenance of Marijuana and Marijuana Products;
- h. Respondent **shall** provide the Commission with a comprehensive account of all chemicals located at the West Wareham Facility;
- i. Respondent **shall** provide the Commission with Standard Operating Procedures for the storage and handling of all chemicals on site;
- j. Respondent **shall** conduct an internal security audit as required by 935 CMR 500.000 and provide the Commission with proof that said audits have been conducted and that



all deficiencies regarding Respondent's security system have been rectified within 30 days of this Order;

- k. Respondent **shall** conduct its annual security audit as required by 935 CMR 500.110(10) and provide the Commission with proof that said audits have been conducted and that all deficiencies regarding Respondent's security system have been rectified within 30 days of this Order;
- l. Respondent **shall** provide a comprehensive list of all individuals hired through the employment agency utilized to staff the West Wareham Facility;
- m. Respondent **shall** provide the Commission with CORI reports and documentation showing that all agents associated with MP281764 and MC282052 have completed the required Responsible Vendor Training;

Notice is provided pursuant to 801 CMR 1.01(6)(a) that this Summary Suspension Order (herein, the "Order") shall take effect on October 16, 2026, at 12:01 A.M. A copy of this Order shall be posted at all public entrances to its establishment. Failure to comply may result in further enforcement action against Respondent, up to and including revocation of licensure.

Nothing herein should be construed as precluding or limiting Commission authority to take additional administrative action to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

The Commission reserves the right to modify, amend, or rescind the order or take additional enforcement action permitted pursuant to 935 CMR 500.350 and 500.500. The Order shall remain in effect until the Commission rescinds or amends the order or until such other time specified in 935 CMR 500.350.

Respondent may request a hearing no later than 21 calendar days after the Effective Date of this Order by making such request by email to Commission@CCCMass.com, Attn: Legal, for it to be considered timely under 935 CMR 500.350(5)(a). Respondent may appear *pro se* or be represented by counsel in the administrative hearing process. The hearing will be subject to the Standard Adjudicatory Rules of Practice and Procedure, which includes 801 CMR 1.01, 801 CMR 1.02, and 801 CMR 1.03.

The requirements of the order issued under 935 CMR 500.350(2) shall remain in effect until one of the following events has occurred: (a) the Commission modifies, amends or rescinds the order; (b) there is a Final Decision on the merits of a Commission order, including judicial review of the order, unless the order is vacated or modified by a court of competent jurisdiction or rescinded by the Commission; (c) there is a Final Decision on the merits of a subsequently issued Order to Show Cause under 935 CMR 500.370 including judicial review of the order, unless the order is vacated or modified by a court of competent jurisdiction or rescinded by the Commission; or (d) until such time as is otherwise established under the procedures set forth in 935 CMR 500.000.



Signed this 15th day of October 2026:

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Cannabis Control Commission



Travis Ahern, Executive Director

Cc:

Michael Donahue, Chief of Investigations and Enforcement

Katherine Binkoski, Director of Investigations

Olivia Koval, Director of Licensing

Timothy Goodin, Enforcement Counsel



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jerome Hanley, hereby certify that on this 15th day of October 2026, I served a copy of this Summary Suspension Order on Paper City Industries, LLC by electronic mail to the owner of record Karen Desmond at papercityindustries@gmail.com and a copy sent USPS Mail to the address of record.

Jerome Hanley, Esq.

Jerome Hanley, Esq.

MA BBO # 707265

Enforcement@cccmass.com

Union Station

2 Washington Square

Worcester, Massachusetts 01609

774-670-4091

