

MRM Industries LLC
160 Ayer Road, Unit 1
Littleton, MA 01460
MP281798

Case No. ENF-2026-0000001945

SUMMARY SUSPENSION ORDER
G.L. c. 94G, §§ 4(a)(xi) and (a½)(xi)
935 CMR 500.350

Pursuant to its authority under G.L. c. 94G, §§ 4(a)(xi) and (a½)(xi) and 935 CMR 500.350, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Cannabis Control Commission (the “Commission”), acting through its Executive Director, issues this Summary Suspension Order requiring the immediate suspension of License No. MP281798 held by MRM Industries LLC (“MRM” or the “Respondent”), and cessation of all licensed operations having determined that Respondent has ceded control without proper notice or approval by the Commission and has failed to operate responsibly. The issuance of this Summary Suspension Order is based on the determination that Respondent’s failure to comply with applicable regulations pose an immediate or serious threat to public health, safety, or welfare.

This Summary Suspension Order shall be effective upon Respondent and shall take effect on October 27, 2026, at 12:01 A.M. (the “Effective Date and Time”).

I. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITY

1. The Commission is charged with the administration and enforcement of laws regulating and licensing adult-use Marijuana Establishments and maintains jurisdiction over the conduct of licensed Marijuana Establishments, including their compliance with the Commonwealth’s marijuana laws, G.L. c. 94G, and regulations, 935 CMR 500.000 *et seq.* See G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a), (a½);
2. Marijuana Product Manufacturers are a type of Marijuana Establishment licensed by the Commission to “obtain, manufacture, process and package marijuana and marijuana products, to deliver marijuana and marijuana products to marijuana establishments and to transfer marijuana and marijuana products to other marijuana establishments, but not to consumers.” See G.L. c. 94G, § 1;
3. The Commission possesses all powers necessary or convenient to carry out and effectuate its purposes including, but not limited to:
 - a. the power to deny an application or limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend a license. G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a)(xi);



- b. the power to gather facts and information applicable to the Commission’s obligation to suspend or revoke licenses for a violation of G.L. c. 94G, or any regulation adopted by the Commission. G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a)(xiv); and
 - c. the power to conduct adjudicatory proceedings in accordance with chapter 30A. G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a)(xxiv);
- 4. The Commission also has the power to promulgate regulations and to adopt, amend, or repeal regulations for the implementation, administration, and enforcement of G.L. c. 94G. *See* G.L. c. 94G, § 4(a)(xxiv), (xxviii);
- 5. Accordingly, the Commission has adopted regulations for the administration, clarification and enforcement of laws regulating Marijuana Establishments, including 935 CMR 500.350, under which the Commission or a Commission Delegee may impose a Summary Suspension Order prior to a hearing to protect the public health, safety, or welfare. 935 CMR 500.350(1);
- 6. If based on inspection, affidavits, or other credible evidence, the Commission or Commission Delegee determines that a Licensee or Registrant poses an immediate or serious threat to the public health, safety or welfare, the Commission or Commission Delegee may issue a Summary Suspension Order that requires the immediate suspension of a License and its associated registrations and cessation of all operations. 935 CMR 500.350(2)(b);
- 7. On receipt of a Summary Suspension Order, the Licensee and its associated Marijuana Establishment Agents must immediately comply with the requirements of the order and, if requested, post notice at public entrances to the establishment. 935 CMR 500.350(4);

II. FACTUAL BASIS

In making this determination, the Commission finds as follows:

- 8. Respondent is a Marijuana Product Manufacturer located at 160 Ayer Road, Units 1 and 2, Littleton, MA 01460, with a final license to manufacture Marijuana Products under License No. MP281798;
- 9. On December 14, 2023, the Commission issued Respondent its final license;
- 10. After receiving Commission authorization, Respondent commenced operations on February 13, 2024;

March 2026 Inspections

- 11. On March 4, 2026, Commission investigators arrived at Respondent’s facility to conduct an unannounced inspection;



12. Upon arriving onsite, Commission investigators attempted to access Respondent's premises via the front door, which was locked, and witnessed someone inside the facility through transparent glass;
13. To gain access to the facility, Commission investigators contacted Respondent's owner via phone to request entry;
14. Following contact with Respondent's owner, the same individual witnessed by Commission investigators granted them access to the facility and identified himself as a general contractor;
15. On entry into the facility, the individual that identified himself as a general contractor, reiterated to Commission investigators that he was not employed by nor a Registered Agent for Respondent and, therefore, could not provide Commission investigators access to Respondent's Limited Access Areas, including the processing lab and post-processing area;
16. Commission investigators proceeded with an inspection of the remainder of the Premises, primarily designated as office space;
17. During the inspection, Commission investigators discovered dozens of unsecured Marijuana Products bearing Michigan Metrc tags (the "Michigan Marijuana Products"), which were seized by the Commission in accordance with the agency's authority to seize and impound Marijuana held in violation of G.L. c. 94G for the purpose of examination and inspection;
18. Notice of said seizure was provided to Respondent the same day;
19. Following the inspection, Commission investigators verified that the individual who identified himself as a general contractor was in fact a Registered Agent (hereinafter, "Registered Agent No. 1") employed by Respondent since at least February 17, 2026;
20. On March 5, 2026, Commission investigators returned to Respondent's facility to continue their inspection;
21. During the inspection, Commission investigators attempted to review surveillance footage to determine how the Michigan Marijuana Products arrived at Respondent's facility and discovered that Respondent's surveillance system was not properly recording and retaining footage, nor had any footage been retained from the last 90 days;
22. On March 6, 2026, Respondent represented to the Commission that the surveillance system service provider had repaired the issue;



23. With respect to the Michigan Marijuana Products, Respondent stated that one of its agents (hereinafter, “Registered Agent No. 2”) had transported a tote filled with the Michigan Marijuana Products to Respondent’s facility to show another Registered Agent (hereinafter, “Registered Agent No. 3”);
24. According to Respondent, “[Registered Agent No. 3] report[ed] to MRM ownership that he understood the packaged items to be display examples and did not believe the packaging contained actual cannabis product, at all times prior to this Commission inquiry”;
25. On March 13, 2026, Commission investigators issued Respondent a Notice of Deficiency (“NOD”) alleging numerous violations associated with the March 4th and 5th inspections, including one violation of 935 CMR 500.110(5)(a)5. for failing to retain 90 days of archived surveillance footage;
26. On March 27, 2026, Respondent submitted a Plan of Correction (“POC”). With respect to the missing surveillance footage, Respondent stated: “MRM’s surveillance recording system has been repaired, including replacement of internal hard drives and a backup battery unit. . . . MRM has implemented a daily verification procedure requiring the site manager to confirm that all cameras are recording and that footage is accessible at the start of each business day. Any system failure will be reported to the Commission as soon as practicable and in no event within 24 hours, pursuant to 935 CMR 500.110(9)(a)(8).”¹;
27. On April 9, 2026, Commission investigators interviewed Registered Agent No. 3 regarding the origin of the Michigan Marijuana Products;
28. Registered Agent No. 3 stated that he did not know the Michigan Marijuana Products Registered Agent No. 2 left at the facility contained Marijuana;
29. Registered Agent No. 3 also stated that he did not coordinate the transportation of the Michigan Marijuana Products with Registered Agent No. 2;
30. On April 10, 2026, Commission investigators interviewed Registered Agent No. 2 regarding the origin of the Michigan Marijuana Products;
31. Registered Agent No. 2 admitted to driving the Michigan Marijuana Products to Respondent’s facility from Michigan and stated that Registered Agent No. 3 had knowledge of the Michigan Marijuana Products beforehand, providing a text exchange between he and Registered Agent No. 3 from January 9, 2026, in which the products were discussed;

¹ 935 CMR 500.110(9)(a)8. states, “A Marijuana Establishment shall notify ... the Commission of any breach of security or other reportable incident defined in 935 CMR 500.110(9) immediately, and in no instance, more than 24 hours following discovery” (emphasis added).



32. On May 14, 2026, Commission investigators conducted a follow-up interview with Registered Agent No. 3 to clarify his statements from his initial interview regarding the origin of the Michigan Marijuana Products;
33. Registered Agent No. 3 initially denied knowing about the text messages Registered Agent No. 2 provided to the Commission;
34. Upon further inquiry, Registered Agent No. 3 asserted that he wanted gummy samples, however, did not want them to contain Marijuana;

July 2026 Inspection

35. On July 22, 2026, Commission investigators returned to Respondent's facility to conduct an unannounced inspection;
36. Consistent with the March inspection, Commission investigators asked to review Respondent's surveillance footage. Upon inspection, investigators observed that Respondent did not retain 90 days of archived surveillance footage, and that the retained surveillance footage only dated back to June 17, 2026;
37. On July 24, 2026, the Commission issued Respondent a Request for Information ("July RFI") seeking more information regarding the missing surveillance footage;
38. In response, Respondent stated that on or about June 12, 2026, its personnel attempted to retrieve recorded footage but were unable to do so;
39. Respondent further stated that, after discovering that the surveillance system failed to retain the security footage, on June 17, 2026, it contacted its service provider, TP IT, who replaced three failed hard drives in an attempt to address the surveillance system's failure to retain the surveillance footage;
40. As a result of the system failure, Respondent did not have recorded footage between roughly March 7, 2026, and June 17, 2026;
41. Respondent did not report the problem to the Commission when it became aware of it on June 12, 2026, nor at any other time prior to the discovery by investigators during the inspection on July 22, 2026;

Inventory Management and Processing Practices

42. Also during the July 22, 2026, inspection, Commission investigators observed approximately 25 to 30 55-gallon industrial ethanol barrels and 60 five-gallon buckets (the "barrels and buckets") stored in Respondent's production area;



43. When asked about the contents of the barrels and buckets, one of Respondent's managers (hereinafter "Registered Agent No. 4") stated that the barrels and buckets contained crude cannabis extract mixed with ethanol ("ethanol crude mix") that had not yet been refined;
44. Commission investigators observed all of the 55-gallon industrial ethanol barrels to be represented under a single Metrc tag, No. 1A40A030000F871000003527, which was purported to contain approximately 85,000 grams of bulk concentrate;
45. On August 7, 2026, the Commission issued an Administrative Hold to Respondent. The Administrative Hold prohibited the transfer or continued processing of the ethanol crude mix and associated shake/trim packages based, in part, on the rationale that the product contained within the barrels and buckets did not appear to have been properly tracked in the Commission's Seed-to-sale SOR, Metrc;
46. On August 26, 2026, Commission investigators returned to Respondent's facility to conduct an announced inspection and take test samples from the mixture represented as ethanol crude mix in the barrels and buckets subject to the Administrative Hold in accordance with its authority pursuant to 935 CMR 500.301(5) and 500.160(3);
47. Commission investigators also inspected Respondent's post-processing room and observed 13 additional buckets and containers (the "additional buckets") identified by Registered Agent No. 4 as containing ethanol and redissolved finished bulk distillate. The additional buckets were not subject to the Administrative Hold;
48. Commission investigators subsequently took a test sample from one of the additional buckets containing the ethanol and redissolved finished bulk distillate mixture;
49. During the inspection, Commission investigators also reviewed Respondent's surveillance footage. In security footage retained from July 17, 2026, Commission investigators observed Registered Agent No. 1 and another unknown individual walking into the post-processing room, retrieving two of the additional buckets and using a funnel to add it to a storage tank identified by Registered Agent No. 4 as the storage tank for starting solvent for ethanol extraction runs;
50. In total, Commission investigators collected ten test samples from five sources that were divided into two test sample batches;
51. After completing the inspection, the test sample batches were transported to two Independent Testing Laboratories for full panel testing;
52. Test results from both test sample batches taken from the barrels and buckets purported to contain an ethanol crude mix identified the presence of Delta 9 THC, which indicates that the mixture in the barrels and buckets contains refined Marijuana, contrary to Respondent's representations to Commission investigators;



Unauthorized Change of Control

53. In response to the July RFI, Respondent provided the Commission with a Management Services Agreement (the “Agreement”) executed on November 14, 2025, with a Massachusetts Limited Liability Company (hereinafter, “Management Services Provider”);
54. The Management Services Provider is not a licensed Marijuana Establishment;
55. The Agreement was not provided to the Commission prior to its response to the July RFI;
56. Per the Agreement, Respondent hired the Management Services Provider to stand up its processing and extraction operations;
57. In exchange, per the Agreement:
 - a. Respondent will compensate the Management Services Provider between 80% and 90% of the net profits derived from product sales; and,
 - b. Management Services Provider will pay for all operational costs associated with the establishment and day-to-day operation of the extraction facility, and be reimbursed for said costs;
58. Per the Agreement, Respondent provided the Management Services Provider with “full access to the [Premises] on a 24-hr basis 7 days a week.”;
59. On September 14, 2026, Respondent submitted a Change of Ownership and Control application to the Commission attempting to add Registered Agent No. 3 as a Person with Direct or Indirect Control and the Management Services Provider as an Entity with Direct or Indirect Control;
60. Respondent discussed the Agreement in its application, stating: “The Agreement was not required to be disclosed at its time of execution and contemplate submission to the Cannabis Control Commission (CCC) prior to any rights or obligations are granted by the Applicant which require approval of the CCC. However, as the intent of the Applicant is to, *conditional upon CCC approval*, pay substantial portions of license profits to [Management Services Provider] in consideration for costs and labor advanced by [Management Services Provider], the Applicant seeks CCC approval to effectuate the full terms of the Agreement.” (emphasis added);
61. Notably, Respondent has made substantial payments per the Agreement to the Management Services Provider prior to receiving approval from the Commission. For example, Respondent wired its Management Services Provider \$137,500 on March 27, 2026;



III. COMMISSION FINDINGS AND VIOLATIONS OF LAW

62. The facts set forth in Paragraphs 11 through 61 warrant the issuance of a Summary Suspension Order;
63. Marijuana Products includes products that have been Manufactured and contain Cannabis, Marijuana, or an extract from Cannabis or Marijuana *See* 935 CMR 500.002;
64. A Person or Entity Having Direct Control means any person or entity having direct control over the operations of a Marijuana Establishment, which satisfies one or more of the following criteria: ... A Person or Entity that has the right to control or authority, through contract or otherwise including, but not limited to: ... make decisions regarding operations and strategic planning, capital allocations, acquisitions and divestments; ... make major marketing, production, and financial decisions; ... earn 10% or more of the profits or collect more than 10% of the dividends. 935 CMR 500.002;
65. Assigning or attempting to change ownership or assign a License to another entity without approval of the Commission pursuant to 935 CMR 500.104 may result in a License being suspended, revoked, or deemed void. *See* 935 CMR 500.104(1);
66. A Marijuana Establishment must submit a request to the Commission prior to effectuating any change in control, where a new Person or Entity Having Direct or Indirect Control should be added to the License. A change in control occurs when an individual, corporation, or entity that has not been identified to the Commission falls within the definition of a Person or Entity Having Direct or Indirect Control and, therefore, is in a position to control the decision-making of the Marijuana Establishment. *See* 935 CMR 500.104(1)(b)2.;
67. A Marijuana Establishment shall attach...package tags to all Finished Marijuana and Marijuana Products, and track all Marijuana seeds, Clones, plants, and Marijuana Products, using a Seed-to-sale methodology in a form and manner to be approved by the Commission. *See* 935 CMR 500.105(8)(e);
68. The failure to enter inventory into the Seed-to-sale SOR may result in the suspension or revocation of a Marijuana Establishment License. *See* 935 CMR 500.105(8)(f);
69. A Marijuana Establishment . . . shall have an adequate security system to prevent and detect Diversion, Inversion, theft or loss of Marijuana or unauthorized intrusion . . . which shall, at minimum, include: ... recordings from all video cameras which shall be enabled to record 24 hours each day and be available for immediate viewing by the Commission on request for at least the preceding 90 calendar days or the duration of a request to preserve the recordings for a specified period of time made by the



Commission, whichever is longer. *See* 935 CMR 500.110(5)(a)5.;

70. A Marijuana Establishment shall notify ... the Commission of any breach of security or other reportable incident defined in 935 CMR 500.110(9) immediately and, in no instance, more than 24 hours following discovery of the breach or incident. Notification shall occur, but not be limited to, during the following occasions: ... [t]he failure of any security alarm system due to ... mechanical malfunction that is expected to last more than eight hours. 935 CMR 500.110(9)(a)8.;
71. Commission regulations authorize the Commission, or a Commission delegatee, to issue a Summary Suspension Order requiring the immediate suspension of a License and its associated registrations and cessation of its operations based on inspections, affidavits, or other credible information that a Licensee poses an immediate or serious threat to the public health, safety, or welfare. *See* 935 CMR 500.350(2);
72. A Marijuana Establishment providing information to the Commission which is deceptive, misleading, false or fraudulent, or that tends to deceive or create a misleading impression, whether directly, or by omission or ambiguity, including lack of disclosure or insufficient disclosure, in and of itself, constitutes full and adequate grounds for suspending or revoking a Marijuana Establishment License. *See* 935 CMR 500.450(2);
73. A Marijuana Establishment's assignment, attempted change of ownership or attempted assignment of its License to another entity without prior approval of the Commission under 935 CMR 500.104, in and of itself, constitutes full and adequate grounds for suspending or revoking a License. *See* 935 CMR 500.450(5);
74. A lack of responsible operation of a Marijuana Establishment, as shown by its failure to maintain the Marijuana Establishment in an orderly fashion and through incompetent or negligent operation, in and of itself, constitutes full and adequate grounds for suspending or revoking a Marijuana Establishment's License. *See* 935 CMR 500.450(7);
75. Violations of Commission regulations where the result may be the suspension, revocation, or voiding of a License are violations that pose an immediate or serious threat to the public health, safety, or welfare;
76. The Commission has determined through multiple inspections that Respondent has demonstrated a failure to maintain an adequate security system including, repeat failures to maintain recordings from all video cameras for at least the preceding 90 calendar days;
77. During the course of the Commission's inspections and investigation, Respondent repeatedly represented to the Commission that it resolved the deficiencies with its security system, including in its March 27, 2026, POC;



78. Upon discovering that its security system had failed to retain video footage as required pursuant to Commission regulations for the second time, Respondent failed to notify the Commission of the system malfunction for 40 days until the Commission discovered the malfunction through the course of its own inspection;
79. Failing to implement a POC as stated, like Respondent failed to do here by not reporting the surveillance system outage, is also grounds for suspension or revocation. *See* 935 CMR 500.450(4);
80. Respondent's continued operation without minimum camera coverage in accordance with G.L. c. 94G and 935 CMR 500.110(9), as well as its failure to notify the Commission of its surveillance system outage on June 12, 2026, despite submitting a POC stating that such an incident needed to be reported under 935 CMR 500.110(9)(a)8., increases the risk of Inversion, Diversion, theft or loss and necessarily presents an immediate threat to the public health, safety, and welfare requiring the immediate suspension of Respondent's license;
81. Respondent authorized a Management Services Provider to assume control of its operations as an Entity with Direct or Indirect Control without Commission approval in November 2025, when Respondent entered into a Management Services Agreement with the Management Services Provider that assigned significant decision-making authority to the unlicensed entity, as well as the right to earn between 80% and 90% of Respondent's profits;
82. Respondent only sought approval from the Commission for this change on September 14, 2026, after the department learned of the information through its own investigation, and to date has not received approval to effectuate this change;
83. Respondent's assignment of control of its Licensed operations to the Management Services Provider without Commission review and approval may result in the license being deemed void and, therefore, its continued operation presents an immediate or serious threat to public health, safety, or welfare;
84. The Management Services Provider is not an entity approved to exercise ownership or control over Respondent's License and, therefore, its continued operation without Commission approval poses an immediate or serious threat to the public safety or welfare;
85. The Commission has determined that Respondent, through its agents, repeatedly made deceptive, misleading, or false statements to Commission investigators during inspections and investigative conferences;



86. On March 4, 2026, Registered Agent No. 1 misrepresented his affiliation with Respondent to Commission investigators and denied them access to Limited Access Areas and leaving them unable to complete their unannounced inspection as planned;
87. On April 9, 2026, Registered Agent No. 3 misrepresented his involvement with and prior knowledge of the transportation of the Michigan Marijuana Products seized from Respondent's facility;
88. On May 14, 2026, Registered Agent No. 3 again misrepresented his involvement and knowledge when he denied knowing about the text messages that Registered Agent No. 2 turned over to Commission investigators showing that Registered Agent No. 3 had, in fact, coordinated transportation of the Michigan Marijuana Products with Registered Agent No. 2;
89. Respondent's Registered Agents' misrepresentations to Commission investigators obstructs the agency's ability to carry out its lawful purpose and authorities, which necessarily presents an immediate or serious threat to the public health, safety, and welfare requiring the immediate suspension of Respondent's license;
90. During the inspection on July 22, 2026, Commission investigators observed approximately 85,000 grams of Marijuana distillate identified by Respondent as an unrefined crude mix being stored in barrels and buckets had been represented under a single Metrc tag;
91. Through sampling and testing directed during the course of its investigation, the Commission identified that Respondent's inventory did not accurately identify Marijuana Products held in its inventory;
92. Commission investigators observed, through their inspection of Respondent's video footage, Respondent's agent and another unidentified individual adding finished bulk distillate to a storage tank that Respondent's agent identified as the storage tank for starting solvents used by Respondent for ethanol extraction;
93. Respondent's failure to accurately track its inventory in Metrc, coupled with the numerous misrepresentations to Commission investigators throughout the investigation related to the Marijuana and Marijuana Products observed on-site creates a substantial risk of inversion, presenting an immediate or serious threat to public health, safety and welfare requiring the immediate suspension of Respondent's license;
94. Respondent's numerous violations, including failing to operate responsibly, failure to maintain accurate inventory in the Seed-to-sale SOR, compliance with surveillance retention requirements, effectuating a change of ownership without prior Commission approval, and providing false and misleading information to the Commission each, separately, constitute grounds to suspend, revoke, or even void its License; and



95. Respondent's aforementioned violations, when taken together, drastically increase the risk of inversion, posing an immediate and serious threat to public health, safety and welfare if permitted to continue operations as a Marijuana Product Manufacturer and warrant the immediate suspension of Respondent's license.

IV. ORDER

Based on the above factual findings and violations of law, and Respondent's lack of responsible operation and continued operations with an unapproved Person or Entity Having Direct or Indirect Control pose an immediate or serious threat to public health, safety, or welfare.

96. Accordingly, the Commission, acting through its Executive Director, **suspends and requires the immediate cessation of operations** associated with the license stated below, subject to the conditions of Paragraph 98, **effective October 27, 2026, at 12:01 AM:**

Final License	MP281798	Product Manufacturer	Littleton, MA
---------------	----------	----------------------	---------------

97. The Commission further, acting through its Executive Director, **immediately suspends all Agent Registrations** associated with License No. MP281798;

98. Further, the Commission, acting through its Executive Director, hereby **orders:**

- a. Respondent **shall** identify agents essential for the security and general maintenance of its Product Manufacturing facility and provide the names of those agents to Inspections@CCCMass.com, Attn: Director of Investigations. Upon satisfactory review of the agents proposed by Respondent, the Commission may approve the reinstatement of their Agent Registrations for those limited purposes;
- b. Respondent **shall** conduct a comprehensive inventory of all Marijuana and Marijuana Products that are located at the Respondent's Premises, to the Commission within 15 days of this Order;
- c. Respondent **shall** preserve any and all security footage or video record from the date of this Order's issuance until otherwise stated. Respondent **shall** provide security footage or video records as requested by the Commission;
- d. Respondent **shall** not allow anyone on the premises other than those identified pursuant to subparagraph a., with the exception of Commission staff, local law enforcement, or emergency personnel. Limited exceptions for other individuals, including, but not limited to, third-party contractors, consultants or visitors, will be considered on a case-by-case basis as outlined below:



- (i) Respondent shall provide notice of other individuals to Inspections@CCCMass.com, Attn: Director of Investigations, at least 24 hours in advance of an expected visit and such request shall include the name(s) of the visitor(s), expected date of visit, purpose of the visit, and name(s) of the Registered Agent(s) who will escort the visitor(s). Visitors may access the facility only after receiving approval and may only be present for the purposes stated and on the dates specified by Respondent. All approved visitors shall be signed in and out as Visitors and must be accompanied by a Registered Agent at all times;
- e. Respondent **shall** cooperate with the Commission as necessary for Commission staff to conduct any inspections or security assessments of the Premises to ensure the continued maintenance of Marijuana and Marijuana Products.

Notice is provided pursuant to 935 CMR 500.350(3) and 801 CMR 1.01(6)(a) that the Order (herein, the “Order”) shall take effect on **October 27, 2026, at 12:01 A.M.** A copy of this Order shall be posted at all public entrances to its establishment. Failure to comply may result in further enforcement action against Respondent up to and including suspension or revocation of licensure.

Nothing herein should be construed as precluding or limiting Commission authority to take additional administrative action to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

The Commission reserves the right to modify, amend, or rescind the order or take additional enforcement action permitted pursuant to applicable Commission regulations, including 935 CMR 500.350 and 500.500. The Order shall remain in effect until the Commission rescinds or amends the order or until such other time specified in accordance with 935 CMR 500.350 and 500.500, as applicable.

Respondent may request a hearing no later than 21 calendar days after the Effective Date of this Order by making such request by email to Hearings@CCCMass.com or as otherwise specified in the attached form, for it to be considered timely under 935 CMR 500.350(5)(a). Respondent may appear *pro se* or be represented by counsel in the administrative hearing process. The hearing will be subject to the Standard Adjudicatory Rules of Practice and Procedure, which includes 801 CMR 1.01, 801 CMR 1.02, and/or 801 CMR 1.03.

Consistent with 935 CMR 500.350(6), the requirements of this Order issued pursuant to 935 CMR 500.350(2) shall remain in effect until one of the following events has occurred: (a) the Commission modifies, amends or rescinds the order; (b) there is a Final Decision on the merits of a Commission order, including judicial review of the order, unless the order is vacated or modified by a court of competent jurisdiction or rescinded by the Commission; (c) there is a Final Decision on the merits of a subsequently issued Order to Show Cause under 935 CMR 500.370 including judicial review of the order, unless the order is vacated or modified by a court



of competent jurisdiction or rescinded by the Commission; or (d) until such time as is otherwise established under the procedures set forth in 935 CMR 500.000.

Signed this 26th day of October 2026:

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Cannabis Control Commission




Travis Ahern, Executive Director

Cc: Michael Donahue, Chief of Investigations and Enforcement
Timothy Goodin, Enforcement Counsel
Jacob Jarred, Associate Enforcement Counsel
Madison Boudreau, Associate Enforcement Counsel
Katherine Binkoski, Direct of Investigations



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Madison Boudreau, hereby certify that on this 26th day of October, 2026, I served a copy of this Summary Suspension Order on MRM Industries LLC by electronic mail to the owner of record, Masood Shaikh at masood@mrmtxtract.com with a paper copy sent to the address of record. A copy of the Summary Suspension Order was also sent to Quinn Heath, Counsel for MRM Industries LLC, at quinn@cfsattorneys.com.



Madison Boudreau, Esq.

MA BBO # 714255

Enforcement@cccmass.com

Union Station

2 Washington Square

Worcester, Massachusetts 01609

774-670-4091

